Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/820,197	KADOI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nicholas C. Pachol	2625
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Nicholas C. Pachol</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Frank Cire</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>10 November 2008</u>	Time: <u>3:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 12		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 12 had depended on cancled claim 10. Applicant stated that claim 12 should depend on claim 11. Claim 12 has been amended accordingly.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Nicholas C Pachol/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	A 1' (/A 1' 2 2 2	
(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)